

# CS 130 Homework 4

Alex Vondrak

DUE: February 3, 2012

## Practice Problems

You are not required to turn these in.

**Gersting, 6e:** Section 1.2, Exercises 12–22 and Section 1.4, Exercises 10–27

**Book of Proof:** N/A (this book is light on formal logic)

Note that Gersting uses a different syntax than we've been using in class. Basically, they write  $A \wedge B \wedge C \longrightarrow D$  to mean what we've written as  $A, B, C \vdash D$ . They're functionally equivalent (cf. the Deduction Theorem and Exportation), but may be hard to read at first—especially because the textbook also elides parentheses and square brackets a lot.

## Turn-in Problems

Prove the following theorems using the predicate logic proof system. You may use any previously proven result from the homework/lecture and any theorem from the list provided at <http://www.csupomona.edu/~ajvondrak/cs/130/12/winter/theorems.pdf>.

$$\boxed{1} \quad \vdash \quad (\forall x[\forall y[A(x, y)]] \implies \forall y[\forall x[A(y, x)])$$

$$\boxed{2} \quad \text{(a) } \neg\exists x[A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \forall x[\neg A(x)]$$

$$\text{(b) } \forall x[\neg A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \neg\exists x[A(x)]$$

$$\text{(c) } \exists x[\neg A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \neg\forall x[A(x)]$$

$$\text{(d) } \neg\forall x[A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \exists x[\neg A(x)]$$

$$\boxed{3} \quad \vdash \quad (\exists x[(A(x) \implies B(x))] \implies (\forall x[A(x)] \implies \exists x[B(x)]))$$

$$\boxed{4} \quad \vdash \quad \forall y[\exists x[(A(y, x) \implies A(y, y))]]$$

**Hints:**

- For [2](a)–(d), instead of writing down and/or looking for a  $\exists$  in your formula, use the “expanded” form.
- For [2](c), prove the lemma

$$\forall x[A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \forall x[\neg\neg A(x)]$$

then apply the Deduction Theorem to get the tautology

$$\vdash \quad (\forall x[A(x)] \implies \forall x[\neg\neg A(x)])$$

which you can use as a line in your proof.

- For [2](d), prove the lemma

$$\forall x[\neg\neg A(x)] \quad \vdash \quad \forall x[A(x)]$$

then apply the Deduction Theorem to get the tautology

$$\vdash \quad (\forall x[\neg\neg A(x)] \implies \forall x[A(x)])$$

which you can use as a line in your proof.

- In general, instead of proving something of the form  $\vdash (A \implies B)$  directly, it’s usually easier to prove  $A \vdash B$  so you actually have a hypothesis. Then use the Deduction Theorem on this result to show  $\vdash (A \implies B)$  must be true.